Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81M00980R000800110014-7

(OLC #78-3057)/1

OGC 78-5643 25 August 1978

-,		Office of Legislative Counsel		
5X1	FROM :	Assistant General Counsel		
	SUBJECT :	Biden Subcommittee Draft Report		
5X1 5X1	Attached are OGC comments on the subject and a draft . memorandum to Keith Raffel which you may wish to use once you hear from DDO on classification. I became involved in this with Raffel only because who is handling it for had to go out of town. I assume you will handle from here on and suggest a call to Raffel since promised a response by 23 August, and I told Raffel on the 23rd that we would respond by 24 August.			
			25X1	
	Attachments			

25X1

2

2

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Central Intelligence Agency

Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000800110014-7

Washington, D. C. 20505

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Keith Raffel Senate Select Committee Staff					
5X1	FROM :	Assistant General Counsel					
	SUBJECT :	Draft Report entitled "National Security Secrets: Their Proper Place in the Law"					
	The Agency	has no objection to the subject draft					
report from a security or classification standpoint.							
	do, however, have some comments and observations on other						
ţ	aspects which ar	e set forth in the attached memorandum.					
*							
	Attachment						

25X1

CIA Comments on Draft Report Entitled "National Security Secrets: Their Proper Place in the Law"

- The draft report of the Select Committee on Intelligence Subcommittee on Secrecy and Disclosure entitled "National Security Secrets: Their Proper Place in the Law" (hereinafter the report) is a noteworthy attempt to come to grips with a complex, difficult subject: the proper balancing of the often at odds objectives of enforcing the criminal laws and protecting national security interests. These objectives both rank very high on any scale of values and the Agency recognizes that the most desirable solution in cases of conflict is one that serves both interests. fortunately, it is not clear under our system of law, with its guarantees of public and jury trial and broad discovery rights for an accused, that both interests can always be served. No agency deserves blame for the dilemma posed by cases involving legitimate and important secrets and serious The dilemma is a product of the system and human behavior which, of course, is not controllable. As the report points out, the key to the proper resolution of this dilemma is the process by which the decision whether to prosecute such cases is reached.
- 2. In light of the above comments, the report gives the unwarranted impression that national security secrets have thwarted numerous prosecutions in a wide variety of situations.

 - b. In the summary at D on pp. 5-6 reference is made to "murder cases" yet the statement on p. 9 is "possibly even one murder case." The Nha Trang murder on p. 26 would at best support only the statement on p. 9.

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/22: CIA-RDP81M00980R000800110014-7

The report's treatment of the question of applicability of current criminal statutes to "leaks" is inadequate. The statement on p.41 to the effect that most leaks are not criminal because of "lack of criminal intent or direct communication to a foreign agent" creates confusion over whether there is a permissible basis for congressional enactment of criminal legislation in this area. The problem is not one of criminal intent -- the report seems to recognize that strict liability offenses are permissible -- but rather that there is no statute that was clearly intended to apply to leaks. Congress simply has not expressed an intent to generally make such conduct criminal. In both the summary on p. 4 and in the recommendation on p. 55 the report concludes that Congress could more effectively devote its time to policing enforcement of the existing This espionage statutes than in pursuing new legislation. conclusion is the major shortcoming of the report and at odds with that reached by Professors Harold Edgar and Benno C. Schmidt in their definitive treatise "The Espionage Statutes and Publication of Defense Information, " 73 Colum. L. Rev. 929 (1973). Their conclusion is that the espionage statutes are inadequate and clumsily drafted, even as they pertain to classical espionage, and should be rewritten.

L							P 81 5/105/28 0R000800110014	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	SUB.	JECT: (Optional)					;	
-	FRO	M :			<u> </u>	EXTENSION	NO.	
					Г		DATE	
	Assistant General Counsel				25 August 1978			
	TO: build	(Officer designation	n, room number, and	D.	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each commento whom. Draw a line across column	t to show from whom
	. :			RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across colum	ii girei vaen esimiani
	٦£				-			
		Office o	f Legislativ	7 e				
	2.	Counser						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
L				A park				
	3.			# . # # . # #				
	4.							
L					1			
	5.		현실 전 환경후 경기 (1975년) 1일 - 전 1일부 (1975년)					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
L								
	6.					-		
L	., 13 -			/ _F . 2				
	7.							
-								
	8.							
L	9.							
ŀ								
-	10.		<u> </u>					
	yra i katav							
	11.							
ŀ	12.							
-	13.			2.3				
				2 -				
	14.							
		tinati <u>Kana</u>						
	15.		= =				·	